

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

February 9, 2015

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7014015000001942784

Sherman Young Registered Agent Chief Consolidated Mining Co. 226 W 2230 N Provo, UT 84604

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2014-60-06, Chief Consolidated

Mining Company, Lime Peak Quarries, M/049/0047, Utah County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Young:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector, April Abate on September 8, 2014. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$506.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference



regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by March 13, 2015). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

LK:mj

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

 $P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M049-Utah\M0490047-LimePeakQuarries\non-compliance\CO-2014-60-06\pass-6223-02032015.doc$

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

			2014-60-06 Chief Consolidat		: M/049/0047 /Lime Peak Quar	rries
			TE <u>February 3, 20</u> FICER <u>Lynn Kun</u>			
Ι.	HIS'	Are t	(Max. 25 pts.) (R64) here previous violate ears of today's date?	ions, which are		vacated, which fall three
	PRE	VIOUS None	VIOLATIONS	EFFECT	IVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)
		TVOILE				
					TOTAL H	ISTORY POINTS 0
		NOTE: 1. 2.	each category where Beginning at the mid	ints in Parts II an ed by the inspect the violation falls point of the cate the inspector=s a Administrative	d III, the following a cor, the Assessment (s. gory, the Assessmen and operator=s stater	Officer will determine within t Officer will adjust the points nents as guiding documents.
	A.	EVEI 1.	NT VIOLATIONS What is the event	The second secon	lated standard wa	as designed to prevent?
		2.	What is the probastandard was desi PROBAB None Unlikely Likely	gned to prever ILITY		event which a violated ANGE
			Occurre	d	20	

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

With the operator potentially selling this operation, and potential new company not making contact with the Division, it is considered somewhat likely that the operator will not provide the addition surety and if sold, would not do the reclamation. This would leave the Division with a shortage of funds to reclaim the site. Points assigned at the bottom of the Likely range.

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 10

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: <u>The Division may not have adequate funds to fully reclaim</u>. The shortage of funds is estimated between 2-4% shortage if the Surety was forfeited now and the reclamation work began this summer.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 5

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Points assigned at the lower 20% range due to shortage of funds at this time is estimated to be only 2-4%

B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)

I. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____ Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS NA

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 15

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)

Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)

Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligent

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Points assigned at mid-point of negligence range. Operator had been notified of need to increase surety in writing, Attempts to verbally notify operator via phone was not successful.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

Violation has not yet been abated, therefore Good faith points cannot be awarded.

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? Yes / No

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or. Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range
Rapid Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN	GOOD	FAITH POINTS	NA

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	15
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	23

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$506.00